

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/603,409	HOYNS, DIRK V.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amedment filed 3/15/04

 (1) (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Aurthur Z. Bookstein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

6,231,599

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggests Applicant to amend claim 2 in order to overcome the cited art. Applicant agrees to include the limitation "a link curving in one direction from one root to a transition region and in an opposite direction from the transition region to another root" in claim 2 to overcome the cited art. Applicant also agrees to cancel claims 29-34, 40-43, 48 and amend claims 1-6, 8, 9, 12, 23-25, 35-38 and 44-46 (see examiner's amendment for the replacement of claims) in order to place the claims in better form. .